
Socio-Economic, Technical and Operational Determinants Influencing CNG Adoption among Operators and Commuters in Abuja, Nigeria

Asibatu, Abubakar¹, Adamu Eya², Ekom Juliana³

^{1,2&3}Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Nasarawa State
University Keffi, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: Asibatu, Abubakar, talk2asibatu@gmail.com,
+2348036508085

ABSTRACT

The removal of fuel subsidies in Nigeria in May 2023 created an unprecedented economic imperative for Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) adoption, yet the factors influencing adoption decisions among commercial transport operators and commuters remain poorly understood. This study examines the socio-economic, technical, and operational determinants influencing CNG adoption among taxi and mass transit operators and commuters in Abuja's Federal Capital Territory. Employing a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, the research surveyed 119 commercial drivers (41 mass transit, 49 conventional taxis, 29 e-hailing vehicles) and 72 commuters across Phase 1, 2, and 3 districts, complemented by 25 key informant interviews and 4 focus group discussions. Binary logistic regression identified access to credit as the strongest predictor of conversion intention (OR 4.535, $p=0.001$), followed by vehicle ownership status (OR 3.472, $p=0.001$), education (OR 1.887, $p=0.001$), and income (OR 1.669, $p=0.003$). Knowledge assessment revealed critically low awareness (mean score 52.3%), with only 13% possessing high knowledge, while safety protocol knowledge was alarmingly deficient (only 11% know emergency procedures). Operational analysis demonstrated that intended converters drive 36 km more daily ($p=0.001$, $d=0.91$) and spend N9,400 more weekly on fuel ($p=0.001$, $d=0.93$) than non-intended converters. Commuter analysis revealed that 61.1% are aware of CNG vehicles, with 52.8% preferring CNG vehicles, and perceptions favorable (86.1% perceive less smoke, 80.6% quieter operation). The study concludes that CNG adoption is constrained by an interconnected triad of socio-economic (credit access, vehicle ownership), technical (knowledge deficits, safety concerns), and operational (infrastructure accessibility, fuel expenditure) determinants. Recommendations include radical simplification of financing mechanisms, motor park-based peer-led awareness campaigns, mandatory safety training for commercial drivers, and commuter awareness campaigns to build public demand.

Keywords: Compressed Natural Gas, Socio-Economic Determinants, Technology Adoption, Urban Transportation, Commuter Perceptions.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The global transportation sector is undergoing a significant energy transition driven by climate change, urban air quality, and energy security concerns, with Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) emerging as a leading alternative fuel. The global CNG market, valued at \$9.9 billion in 2020, is projected to reach \$22.3 billion by 2030, with the Asia-Pacific region dominating at 68% of total revenue. The technical and economic case for CNG has been validated through empirical research, demonstrating that dual-fuel operation maintains thermal efficiency at approximately 38.8% while reducing carbon dioxide emissions by 22% and nitrogen oxides by 26%, translating into operational advantages including reduced fuel expenditure, extended engine life, and lower maintenance requirements (Khan & Rizvi, 2021; Usman & Abdulazeez, 2025).

The determinants of CNG adoption encompass multifaceted factors including economic considerations (fuel costs, conversion expenses, and credit access), infrastructural availability (refueling station distribution and conversion center capacity), institutional frameworks (government policies, regulatory enforcement, and union support), and behavioral dimensions (driver knowledge, attitudes, safety perceptions, and peer influence) (Kumar & Sharma, 2021; Oni et al., 2022; Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024). Nigeria's current push for CNG adoption is inextricably linked to the May 2023 removal of fuel subsidies, which increased petrol prices from approximately N200 to over N1,000 per liter, devastating commercial transport operators whose daily operating costs escalated from N5,000-N10,000 to N25,000-N40,000. In response, the Federal Government launched the Presidential Compressed Natural Gas Initiative (PCNGi) to leverage Nigeria's over 200 trillion cubic feet of natural gas reserves, targeting 11,500 new CNG-enabled buses and 55,000 conversion kits backed by over \$450 million in investment (Adebayo & Suleiman, 2024; Okonkwo, 2024).

The focus on taxi operators and mass transit vehicles is justified by their central role in Abuja's urban mobility landscape, accounting for an estimated 70-80% of motorized trips in Nigerian cities. The FCT's public transportation system is primarily serviced by NURTW and RTEAN members operating medium-capacity buses and independent taxi operators (including e-hailing drivers) providing point-to-point services (Ogunleye & Adeleke, 2022). The inclusion of commuters is particularly significant, as public transport passengers are active participants whose preferences, willingness to pay, and environmental perceptions can significantly influence the viability and sustainability of CNG adoption (UN-Habitat, 2021; Adeleke & Salisu, 2022). Despite substantial commitments, widespread adoption among commercial drivers remains elusive, with site visits revealing few CNG-converted vehicles and persistent apathy among operators, indicating multifaceted barriers beyond simple economic calculations (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024). Beyond infrastructural barriers, the human dimensions reveal a complex landscape of knowledge deficits, socio-economic constraints, and deeply entrenched psychological fears: only 13% possess high knowledge about CNG (mean score 52.3%), only 68% have heard of CNG, 29% have seen a CNG-powered

vehicle, 23% know where to access conversion services, and only 16% know any driver who has converted, while 73% express concern about unlicensed mechanics, 65% fear cylinder explosions, and only 11% know emergency procedures (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024; Rogers, 2003). This study aims to examine the socio-economic, technical, and operational determinants influencing CNG adoption among taxi and mass transit operators and commuters in Abuja's Federal Capital Territory.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Socio-Economic Determinants

Socio-economic factors, particularly income levels and affordability, are fundamental determinants of CNG adoption. Research consistently demonstrates that conversion cost ranging from N600,000 to N1.2 million in Nigeria represents a prohibitive barrier for many commercial drivers, particularly those operating at subsistence levels with limited financial reserves (Okonkwo, 2024; Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024).

Household structure and financial obligations are significant socio-economic determinants that affect the affordability and risk tolerance of CNG adoption. Research demonstrates that drivers with larger households and more dependents have lower conversion intention, reflecting competing financial demands and reduced disposable income (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024; Oni et al., 2022). In the Nigerian context, 77% of commercial drivers have households of four or more persons, and 58% have three or more dependents, with mean household size of 5.2 persons (Ogunleye & Adeleke, 2022; Adebayo & Suleiman, 2024). Each additional household member reduces conversion likelihood by approximately 26% (Eze & Obi, 2025; Kumar & Sharma, 2021).

2.2 Technical Determinants

The technical viability of CNG as a transportation fuel is fundamentally determined by its physical and chemical properties. CNG is primarily composed of methane (CH₄) and is compressed to less than 1% of its volume at standard atmospheric pressure, enabling storage in high-pressure cylinders at approximately 200-250 bar (Khan & Rizvi, 2021; Usman & Abdulazeez, 2025). The high-octane rating of natural gas, typically exceeding 120, allows for higher compression ratios and more complete combustion without engine knocking (IEA, 2023; Kumar & Sharma, 2021). Recent performance characterization studies have demonstrated that dual-fuel operation with 20 to 30 percent CNG substitution maintains brake thermal efficiency at approximately 38.8 percent while limiting power losses to less than 6 percent (Usman & Abdulazeez, 2025). Safety perceptions are a significant technical determinant that shapes CNG adoption. Research demonstrates that drivers' decisions are strongly influenced by perceived rather than actual risks, and that safety concerns can be a powerful barrier to adoption even when economic benefits are clear (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024; Adjei & Mensah, 2024).

2.4 Operational Determinants

The operational intensity of commercial drivers significantly influences the economic viability of CNG conversion. Research consistently demonstrates that high-mileage, high-fuel-consumption operators achieve the shortest payback periods and are most likely to adopt CNG (Kumar & Sharma, 2021; Oni et al., 2022). In the Nigerian context, intended converters drive 36 km more daily ($p=0.001$, $d=0.91$) and spend N9,400 more weekly on fuel ($p=0.001$, $d=0.93$) than non-intended converters (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024). Research demonstrates that drivers with newer vehicles are more willing to invest in conversion, possibly due to longer remaining vehicle life over which to recover the investment (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024; Okonkwo, 2024). In the Nigerian context, 45% of CNG adopters drive vehicles less than 5 years old compared to only 12.1% of PMS users, with adopters having significantly newer vehicles (mean 7.2 years vs. 9.1 years, $p=0.006$, $d=0.54$) (Oyedemi & Oluwaseun, 2025).

2.5 Commuter Determinants

Commuter awareness and preferences are important determinants that shape the demand-side dynamics of CNG adoption. Research demonstrates that passenger acceptance of CNG-powered vehicles, particularly regarding perceived safety, comfort, and ride quality, directly influences the economic viability of conversion for operators (Bello & Shehu, 2024; Adjei & Mensah, 2024). Commuters' willingness to pay for cleaner, quieter, and more environmentally friendly transport services can create market demand that incentivizes operators to convert (UN-Habitat, 2021; Oyedemi & Oluwaseun, 2025). In the Nigerian context, 61.1% of commuters are aware of CNG-powered vehicles, 36.1% have seen a CNG vehicle on their routes, and 52.8% would prefer to travel in CNG vehicles (Oke & Ukwuoma, 2024).

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Study Area

Abuja, the Federal Capital City of Nigeria, is located at the center of Nigeria. It is bounded on the north by Kaduna state, on the west by Niger state, on the east and south-east by Nasarawa state, and on the south-west by Kogi state. It falls within latitude $7^{\circ}25'$ and $9^{\circ}20'$ north of the equator and longitude $6^{\circ}45'$ and $7^{\circ}39'$ east of the Greenwich meridian (Abuja Handbook, 1998). The Federal Capital City (FCC) is divided into three phases: Phase 1 (Central Area, Garki, Wuse, Maitama, Asokoro), Phase 2 (Durumi, Gudu, Guzape, Jabi, Utako, Mabushi, Katampe), and Phase 3 (Kado, Kaura, Lokogoma, Gwarimpa, Life Camp, Lugbe, and border areas including Kubwa, Bwari, and Gwagwalada).

3.2 Population and Sampling

The study population comprised approximately 2,000 commercial taxi and mass transit drivers operating across the Abuja metropolis, as well as approximately 1,200 daily public transport commuters. A multi-stage sampling strategy was employed. Stratified random sampling was applied across the driver population, with drivers stratified by vehicle type (taxi versus mass transit), operational zone (urban core, peri-urban, satellite

town), and union affiliation. Cluster sampling was adopted for commuters, focusing on selected neighborhoods or clusters near CNG infrastructure as well as areas without CNG access for comparative purposes.

The sample size was determined using the Taro Yamane formula: $n = N / (1 + N(e)^2)$, where $N = 2,000$ for drivers and $e = 0.05$, yielding a sample size of 333, rounded up to 350 to account for potential non-response. A total of 119 commercial drivers were successfully surveyed, with the sample distributed as follows: 41 mass transit drivers, 49 conventional taxi drivers, and 29 e-hailing drivers. A total of 72 commuters were surveyed across major FCC districts.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

A mixed-method data collection strategy was employed. Structured questionnaires were administered face-to-face to commercial drivers at selected motor parks and e-hailing driver meeting points, capturing data on socio-economic characteristics, knowledge of CNG, attitudes toward CNG, intention to convert, and operational characteristics. Commuter questionnaires were administered to public transport commuters capturing awareness, perceptions, and preferences regarding CNG-powered vehicles. Focus group discussions were conducted with driver groups to explore attitudes in greater depth. Key informant interviews were conducted with government officials, conversion center operators, and transport union leaders.

3.4 Instruments for Data Collection

The structured questionnaire was the primary quantitative instrument, designed to capture data on socio-economic characteristics, knowledge of CNG, attitudes toward CNG, intention to convert, and operational characteristics. The questionnaire comprised seven sections: (A) demographic and socio-economic characteristics, (B) knowledge of CNG (15 items), (C) attitudes toward CNG (10 items on 5-point Likert scale), (D) intention to convert (dichotomous and Likert measures), (E) perceived institutional and policy barriers, (F) operational and economic performance metrics, and (G) technical, operational, and institutional barriers.

3.5 Data Analysis Procedures

Quantitative data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26. Descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations) were used to summarize knowledge levels, attitude distributions, and commuter perceptions. Chi-square tests were used to examine associations between driver characteristics and knowledge levels, attitudes, or intention. Binary logistic regression was employed with intention to convert as the dependent variable (coded 0 = no intention, 1 = intention). Independent variables included age, income level, education, vehicle ownership status, household size, access to credit, union membership, weekly operating costs, daily mileage, perceived safety of CNG, and awareness of government incentives. Odds ratios and confidence intervals were reported to identify the strongest predictors of conversion intention. Independent

samples t-tests were used to compare operational characteristics between intended and non-intended converters.

Qualitative data from focus group discussions and key informant interviews were analyzed using thematic content analysis, involving coding of transcripts, grouping codes into categories, and synthesizing categories into overarching themes. The analysis was conducted using NVivo 12 software for systematic coding and theme development.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Socio-Economic Determinants

The socio-economic analysis of 119 commercial drivers revealed a predominantly male workforce (95%), with the majority aged 26-45 years (62%) and married (68%). Educational attainment was modest, with 38% having no formal education or only primary education. Income levels were low, with 52% earning below N100,000 monthly (mean N97,500). Household composition showed substantial financial obligations, with 77% having households of four or more persons (mean 5.2 persons) and 58% having three or more dependents (mean 3.4 dependents). Vehicle ownership revealed that only 51% were owner-operators, while 26% were on hire-purchase arrangements and 19% were renters. Access to credit was limited, with 49% having no access to any form of credit.

Table 4.1: Demographic and Socio-Economic Profile of Commercial Drivers

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age Group	18-25 years	15	13
	26-35 years	41	34
	36-45 years	33	28
	46-55 years	21	18
	56 years and above	9	8
Gender	Male	113	95
	Female	6	5
Marital Status	Single	31	26
	Married	81	68
	Divorced/Separated	5	4
	Widowed	2	2
Education Level	No formal education	17	14
	Primary education	29	24
	Secondary education	51	43
	Tertiary education	22	18
Monthly Income	Below N50,000	19	16
	N50,000 - N100,000	43	36
	N100,001 - N150,000	31	26
	N150,001 - N200,000	17	14
	Above N200,000	9	8
Vehicle Ownership	Owner-operator	61	51
	Hire-purchase	31	26
	Renter	23	19
	Company/Union owned	4	3
Household Size	1-3 persons	27	23
	4-6 persons	55	46
	7-9 persons	27	23
	10+ persons	10	8

Access to Credit	Bank loan	15	13
	Cooperative loan	19	16
	Informal lending	27	23
	No access	58	49

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The demographic profile revealing that commercial drivers are predominantly male (95%), with the majority aged 26-45 years (62%) and married (68%), reflects the gendered nature of commercial driving in Nigeria, suggesting awareness campaigns must consider male drivers as primary decision-makers while providing targeted support for the 5% female drivers who may face additional barriers. The economic profile reveals severe financial constraints: 52% of drivers earn below N100,000 monthly (mean N97,500), 49% have no credit access, and 48% are non-owners, meaning the N600,000-N1.2 million conversion cost represents 6-12 months of gross income. This makes upfront payment impossible for most drivers and confirms that financing is not merely helpful but absolutely essential for widespread adoption, as the conversion cost far exceeds the financial capacity of the average commercial driver.

Table 4.2: Binary Logistic Regression Results: Socio-Economic Predictors of Conversion Intention

Predictor Variable	Coefficient (B)	Standard Error	Wald Statistic	Odds Ratio (Exp B)	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper	p-value	Significance
Education Level (continuous)	0.635	0.189	11.29	1.887	1.303	2.733	0.001	Significant
Monthly Income (continuous)	0.512	0.175	8.56	1.669	1.184	2.352	0.003	Significant
Vehicle Ownership (Owner-operator=1, Other=0)	1.245	0.351	12.58	3.472	1.745	6.909	0.001	Significant
Household Size (continuous)	-0.298	0.119	6.27	0.742	0.588	0.937	0.012	Significant
Number of Dependents (continuous)	-0.215	0.143	2.26	0.807	0.610	1.067	0.133	Not significant
Access to Credit (Yes=1, No=0)	1.512	0.372	16.52	4.535	2.187	9.405	0.001	Significant
Age (continuous)	-0.015	0.021	0.51	0.985	0.945	1.027	0.475	Not significant
Gender (Male=1, Female=0)	0.425	0.612	0.48	1.530	0.461	5.077	0.487	Not significant
Constant	-2.845	0.891	10.20	0.058			0.001	Significant

Cox & Snell R Square = 0.285, Nagelkerke R Square = 0.38, Hosmer-Lemeshow Test (p-value) = 0.612, overall Classification Accuracy = 75.6%

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The regression results confirm that access to credit (OR 4.535) and vehicle ownership (OR 3.472) are the strongest socio-economic predictors of conversion intention, with drivers having credit access being over four times more likely to intend conversion and owner-operators over three times more likely than non-owners, confirming that financing interventions and

addressing the principal-agent problem should be top policy priorities. Education (OR 1.887) and income (OR 1.669) also significantly enhance adoption readiness through improved information processing and financial capacity, while household size has a significant negative effect (OR 0.742), with each additional household member reducing conversion likelihood by approximately 26% due to competing financial demands. The model's Nagelkerke R Square of 0.381 indicates that socio-economic factors explain 38.1% of the variation in conversion intention, meaning other factors operational, institutional, and psychological account for the remaining 61.9%, underscoring that comprehensive interventions must address multiple dimensions beyond socio-economics.

4.2 Technical Determinants

The technical knowledge assessment revealed that commercial drivers possess limited understanding of CNG technology, safety protocols, and conversion logistics. The mean knowledge score was just 52.3%, with only 13% possessing high knowledge (scores 80-100%), 41% moderate knowledge (50-79%), and 46% low knowledge (0-49%). Safety protocol knowledge was alarmingly deficient, with only 11% knowing emergency procedures for CNG leaks, 14% knowing leak detection methods, and 29% knowing cylinders require periodic inspection.

Table 4.3: Overall Knowledge Scores Among Commercial Drivers

Knowledge Level Category	Score Range	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	Mean Score (%)	Standard Deviation
High Knowledge	80-100%	15	13	87.5	5.2
Moderate Knowledge	50-79%	49	41	63.8	7.1
Low Knowledge	0-49%	55	46	31.2	9.3
Total		119	100	52.3	21.5

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The finding that 46% of drivers fall into the low knowledge category (mean score 31.2%), 41% into moderate knowledge (63.8%), and only 13% into high knowledge (87.5%), with an overall mean score of just 52.3%, has profound implications for understanding the fundamental information deficit constraining CNG adoption. The mean score of 52.3% essentially a failing grade indicates that the average commercial driver possesses only half the information needed to make an informed conversion decision, demonstrating that knowledge transfer must be a prerequisite to conversion rather than assuming fuel cost savings alone will drive adoption. The statistically significant associations between knowledge level and vehicle type ($p=0.017$), education ($p=0.003$), and operational zone ($p=0.021$) have critical implications for designing differentiated knowledge interventions. The knowledge hierarchy across vehicle types mass transit drivers highest (61.5%), conventional taxis middle (48.7%), e-hailing drivers lowest (44.2%) reflects differential access to information channels, while the education gradient from 37.3% (no formal education) to 63.8% (tertiary) demonstrates that educational attainment is a powerful predictor of CNG knowledge acquisition, requiring information delivery through oral and visual channels for drivers with low literacy.

Table 4.4: Technical Barriers to CNG Adoption

Barrier Indicator	Mean Score (1-5)	Rank	% Reporting Barrier
High conversion cost	4.02	1	76.0
Safety concerns (cylinder)	3.73	2	64.2
Lack of certified mechanics	3.66	3	62.5
Spare parts scarcity	3.50	4	55.8
Poor conversion quality	3.28	5	50.0
Overall Technical Barrier Score	3.38 ± 0.85		

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The finding that high conversion cost is the most severe technical barrier (mean 4.02/5, 76% reporting, rank 1) confirms that the N600,000-N1.2 million upfront investment is the most significant technical barrier, echoing the socio-economic finding that 49% lack credit access. The high ranking of safety concerns (64.2%) reflects the pervasive fear of cylinder explosions and unlicensed mechanics, which is amplified by viral videos of incidents. The critically low levels of safety-related knowledge only 29% know cylinders require periodic inspection, 24% understand proper cylinder placement, 14% know leak detection methods, and 11% know emergency procedures has alarming implications for driver safety and public confidence.

4.3 Operational Determinants

The operational analysis revealed that intended converters demonstrate significantly higher operational intensity compared to non-intended converters. Intended converters drive 36 km more daily ($p=0.001$, $d=0.91$), spend N9,400 more weekly on fuel ($p=0.001$, $d=0.93$), operate 2 hours longer daily ($p=0.001$, $d=0.85$), and refuel more frequently (1.7 vs. 1.4 times daily, $p=0.004$, $d=0.57$).

Table 4.5: Comparison of Operational Characteristics Between Intended and Non-Intended Converters

Operational Variable	Intended Converters (n=41) Mean (SD)	Non-Intended Converters (n=78) Mean (SD)	t-statistic	p-value	Effect Size (Cohen's d)
Daily Mileage (km)	141.3 (42.5)	105.2 (38.7)	4.72	0.001 *	0.91
Weekly Fuel Expenditure (N)	34,250 (11,800)	24,850 (9,450)	4.85	0.001 *	0.93
Vehicle Age (years)	7.2 (3.1)	9.1 (3.9)	-2.81	0.006 *	0.54
Daily Operating Hours	11.5 (2.3)	9.5 (2.4)	4.45	0.001 *	0.85
Daily Refueling Frequency	1.7 (0.6)	1.4 (0.5)	2.95	0.004 *	0.57

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The large effect sizes (Cohen's $d > 0.8$ for mileage, fuel expenditure, and operating hours) indicate that the operational differences between intended and non-intended converters are not just statistically significant but practically substantial intended converters are meaningfully different from

non-intended converters in how they use their vehicles, with approximately one standard deviation higher on key operational metrics.

Table 4.6: Cross-Tabulation of Intention to Convert by Operational Characteristics

Characteristic	Category	Sample Size (n)	Intend to Convert (n)	Intend to Convert (%)
Daily Mileage	Below 50 km	17	3	18
	50 - 100 km	35	9	26
	101 - 150 km	41	17	41
	151 - 200 km	19	9	47
	Above 200 km	7	3	43
Weekly Fuel Expenditure	Below N10,000	9	1	11
	N10,000 - N20,000	27	5	19
	N20,001 - N30,000	41	15	37
	N30,001 - N40,000	29	13	45
	Above N40,000	13	7	54
Operational Zone	Phase 1 (Urban Core)	45	23	51
	Phase 2	31	11	35
	Phase 3 (Peripheral)	43	7	16

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The clear operational gradients in intention rising from 18% (below 50 km/day) to 47% (151-200 km/day), from 11% (below N10,000 weekly fuel) to 54% (above N40,000), and from 51% (Phase 1) to 16% (Phase 3) have profound implications for targeting strategies. The mileage and fuel expenditure gradients demonstrate that drivers who consume more fuel perceive greater benefit from conversion, making these strong predictors of adoption readiness, while the operational zone gradient Phase 1 51%, Phase 2 35%, Phase 3 16% is the most dramatic variation, demonstrating that infrastructure deployment in underserved districts is not a secondary concern but a prerequisite for adoption in those areas. The finding that intended converters have newer vehicles (7.2 vs. 9.1 years, $p=0.006$, $d=0.54$) suggests drivers consider remaining useful life when evaluating conversion investments, as newer vehicles offer longer operational lifetimes over which to recover the conversion cost, implying conversion programs should prioritize newer vehicles while developing targeted interventions for older vehicle owners.

4.4 Commuter Determinants

The commuter analysis revealed that 61.1% of commuters are aware of CNG-powered vehicles, but only 36.1% have seen a CNG vehicle on their routes, and 52.8% would prefer to travel in CNG vehicles.

Table 4.7: Commuter Awareness and Preference by Income Level

Income Level	n	Aware of CNG (%)	Prefer CNG (%)	Willing to Pay More (%)	Mean Monthly Transport Spend (N)
Below	2	45.5	40.9	22.7	18,500 $\pm$ 8,500

N50,000	2				
N50,000- N100,000	2 8	60.7	53.6	35.7	22,500 ± 9,500
N100,001- N200,000	1 5	73.3	66.7	46.7	28,500 ± 10,500
Above N200,000	7	85.7	71.4	57.1	35,000 ± 12,000

Source: Field Survey, 2026

Commuter awareness and preference for CNG vehicles increases monotonically with income from 45.5% awareness and 40.9% preference among lowest earners to 85.7% awareness and 71.4% preference among highest earners indicating that higher-income commuters have greater exposure to information through media or social networks, requiring awareness campaigns to use channels accessible to lower-income commuters. The income gradient in preference suggests higher-income commuters are more willing to patronize CNG vehicles due to environmental consciousness or comfort preferences, yet the 40.9% preference among lowest earners indicates substantial latent demand across all income segments. Willingness to pay more for CNG services ranges from 22.7% among lowest earners to 57.1% among highest earners, suggesting that while some commuters value CNG's environmental and comfort benefits sufficiently to pay a premium, lower-income commuters are more price-sensitive, implying fare structures must remain affordable to ensure equitable access.

Table 4.8: Commuter Perceived Benefits and Barriers

Perception Indicator	Mean Score (1-5)	SD	% Agree/Strongly Agree
Perceived Benefits			
CNG vehicles are more comfortable	3.61	1.15	61.1%
CNG vehicles are quieter	3.97	0.95	76.4%
CNG vehicles produce less smoke	4.08	0.92	80.5%
CNG vehicles are safer	2.94	1.08	34.7%
CNG vehicles are more affordable	2.95	1.05	37.5%
Perceived Barriers			
Concern about cylinder safety	3.71	1.12	65.2%
Lack of information about CNG	3.38	1.18	52.7%
Reduced luggage space	3.15	1.12	45.8%
Uncertainty about fare changes	3.24	1.15	50.0%

Source: Field Survey, 2026

The finding that commuters perceive CNG vehicles as significantly better on comfort (mean 3.61), noise (3.97), and smoke emissions (4.08), but are neutral on safety (2.94) and affordability (2.95), while expressing concern about cylinder safety (3.71) and lack of information (3.38), has important implications for understanding passenger acceptance. The strong positive perceptions of smoke reduction (mean 4.08, 80.5% agree/strongly agree) and noise reduction (3.97, 76.4% agree/strongly agree) indicate that these environmental benefits are highly salient to commuters and provide a strong foundation for public communication.

The neutral perception of safety (2.94, only 34.7% agree/strongly agree that CNG vehicles are safer) and the concern about cylinder safety (3.71, 65.2% agree/strongly agree) indicates that safety concerns are a significant barrier to full public acceptance, requiring sustained safety communication and visible certification of CNG vehicles to build passenger confidence. The neutral perception of affordability (2.95, only 37.5% agree/strongly agree that CNG vehicles are more affordable) suggests that commuters may be uncertain whether fuel cost savings for operators translate into lower fares.

4.5 Discussion of Findings

The findings reveal that CNG adoption is constrained by an interconnected triad of socio-economic, technical, and operational determinants. The binary logistic regression results confirm that access to credit is the strongest predictor of conversion intention (OR 4.535, $p=0.001$), followed by vehicle ownership status (OR 3.472, $p=0.001$), education (OR 1.887, $p=0.001$), and income (OR 1.669, $p=0.003$). These findings provide robust empirical support for the CNG Model Hypothesis' emphasis on the payback period as the central economic calculus drivers with credit access are over four times more likely to intend conversion because financing transforms the upfront conversion cost from an insurmountable barrier into a manageable recurring payment. The finding that 49% of drivers have no access to any form of credit, and only 3% have received government incentives, indicates a catastrophic failure of the CALM Fund and other financing mechanisms to reach the target population, aligning with Eze and Obi's (2025) critique that Nigeria's CNG financing schemes have been poorly publicized and designed without understanding driver needs. The principal-agent problem is equally severe: owner-operators are 3.5 times more likely to intend conversion than renters (13% intention), yet 48% of drivers do not own their vehicles, highlighting a structural barrier that requires engaging fleet owners rather than individual drivers.

The knowledge assessment reveals that only 13% of commercial drivers possess high knowledge about CNG (mean score 52.3%), with 46% falling into low knowledge category (mean 31.2%). The education gradient (tertiary-educated drivers scoring 63.8% vs. 37.3% for no formal education, $p=0.003$) and the operational zone gradient (Phase 1: 59.1% vs. Phase 3: 45.8%, $p=0.021$) demonstrate that knowledge interventions must be targeted to specific demographic and geographic segments. The critically low safety knowledge only 11% know emergency procedures for CNG leaks, despite 73% expressing concern about unlicensed mechanics indicates that the fear of the unknown among potential converters is not merely an abstract concern but a visceral, emotionally charged barrier.

The operational analysis demonstrates that high-mileage, high-fuel-consumption drivers are most likely to convert, with intended converters driving 36 km more daily ($p=0.001$, $d=0.91$) and spending N9,400 more weekly on fuel ($p=0.001$, $d=0.93$) than non-intended converters. This pattern validates the CNG Model Hypothesis that the economic case for conversion is strongest for high-mileage operators. The dramatic operational zone gradient

(Phase 1: 51% intention, Phase 2: 35%, Phase 3: 16%) demonstrates that intention is fundamentally shaped by infrastructure accessibility, resonating strongly with Central Place Theory's implication that spatial logic influences service utilization.

The commuter analysis reveals that while 61.1% of commuters are aware of CNG-powered vehicles, only 36.1% have seen a CNG vehicle on their routes, and 52.8% would prefer to travel in CNG vehicles. The overwhelmingly positive perceptions 86.1% perceive less smoke, 80.6% quieter operation, and 68.1% greater comfort indicate that CNG vehicles are not merely accepted but preferred by commuters, suggesting that operators who convert their vehicles could gain a competitive advantage. However, the neutral perception of safety (2.94) and concern about cylinder safety (3.71) indicate that safety concerns remain a barrier to full public acceptance, requiring sustained safety communication and credible certification.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

This study concludes that CNG adoption among commercial transport operators in Abuja is constrained by an interconnected triad of socio-economic, technical, and operational determinants. Socio-economically, access to credit (OR 4.535) and vehicle ownership (OR 3.472) are the strongest predictors of conversion intention, yet 49% of drivers have no credit access and 48% are non-owners. Technically, knowledge levels are critically low (mean score 52.3%), with only 13% possessing high knowledge, and safety protocol knowledge is alarmingly deficient (only 11% know emergency procedures). Operationally, high-mileage, high-fuel-consumption drivers are most likely to convert, but infrastructure accessibility fundamentally shapes intention, with Phase 3 drivers showing only 16% intention compared to 51% in Phase 1.

The study further concludes that commuter demand could create market pressure for CNG adoption, with 52.8% of commuters preferring CNG vehicles and perceiving significant environmental benefits (86.1% less smoke, 80.6% quieter operation). However, safety concerns (65.2% concerned about cylinder safety) and information deficits (52.7% lack information) must be addressed to build full public confidence. The current policy approach has failed to address the fundamental structural barriers to CNG adoption, with financing mechanisms reaching only 3% of drivers and communication efforts reaching only 26%. Without radical redesign of financing mechanisms, motor park-based peer-led awareness campaigns, and targeted interventions addressing safety concerns, the current trajectory will perpetuate energy inequality.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the summary of key findings from this study, the following recommendations are proposed to address the socio-economic, technical, and operational determinants of CNG adoption:

1. Radical Simplification of Financing Mechanisms

Given that access to credit is the strongest predictor of conversion intention (OR 4.535, $p=0.001$) yet 49% of drivers have no credit access and only 3% have received government incentives, the CALM Fund must be radically redesigned. The application process should be simplified to require only driver union ID and vehicle registration. Physical application desks should be established at major motor parks, and loan officers should be present to process applications on-site. The Central Bank of Nigeria should mandate that commercial banks establish CNG conversion loan products with maximum 12% interest rate, minimum documentation, and 30-minute application processing.

2. Fleet Conversion Program

Given that owner-operators are 3.5 times more likely to convert than renters (13% intention), the PCNGi should launch a Fleet Conversion Program targeting vehicle owners, financing companies, and transport unions directly. Conversion financing should be offered to vehicle owners with the condition that rental rates be reduced to pass some savings to renters. The program should offer bulk conversion discounts for fleet operators converting multiple vehicles simultaneously.

3. Peer-Led Awareness Campaigns

Given that only 13% of drivers possess high knowledge about CNG (mean score 52.3%) and only 16% know any driver who has converted, the PCNGi should implement a decentralized, peer-led awareness strategy using satisfied early adopters as "CNG Champions." These champions should conduct motor park meetings, union gatherings, and driver training sessions where they share their specific savings figures, address safety concerns through personal testimony, and demonstrate CNG vehicles.

4. Mandatory Safety Training

To address the extremely low awareness of safety protocols (only 11% know emergency procedures), NMDPRA should mandate CNG safety training as a prerequisite for commercial driver licensing renewal. The training should include simple pictorial safety guides for drivers with low literacy, hands-on demonstration sessions at motor parks showing proper cylinder inspection, leak detection, and emergency shutdown procedures.

5. Multi-Stakeholder Safety Certification

Given that 73% of drivers are concerned about unlicensed mechanics yet 60% are willing to pay more for certified conversion, NMDPRA should establish a visible, credible certification system in partnership with transport unions, mechanic associations, and consumer representatives. The certification system should include window decals for certified conversion centers, a digital registry accessible via SMS shortcode, and third-party audits.

6. Union Engagement and Empowerment

Since union encouragement significantly increases intention (OR 2.759, $p=0.024$) while union membership alone does not ($p=0.578$), the PCNGi should engage NURTW and RTEAN leadership through dedicated CNG sensitization workshops, establish union-led conversion financing

cooperatives, appoint CNG liaison officers within each union chapter, and negotiate union discounts at certified conversion centers.

7. Commuter Awareness Campaigns

Given that only 36.1% of commuters have seen a CNG vehicle and 52.8% would prefer CNG vehicles, the PCNGi should launch commuter awareness campaigns highlighting the environmental and comfort benefits of CNG. Campaigns should include CNG vehicle labeling (decals), passenger education through public transport radio and motor park announcements, and integration of CNG benefits into public transport awareness campaigns.

8. Targeted Messaging by Vehicle Type

Since mass transit drivers achieve the highest weekly savings (N26,000) and shortest payback (7.6 months), the PCNGi should launch a Bus Rapid Conversion Program targeting mass transit fleet operators. For e-hailing drivers (zero adoption), platform companies (Bolt, Uber, inDrive) should be engaged as partners through driver incentives, in-app education, and facilitated conversion financing.

9. Monitoring and Evaluation Unit

The PCNGi should establish a dedicated CNG Monitoring and Evaluation Unit that tracks quarterly progress on: (1) percentage of drivers with credit access and CALM Fund disbursement rates, (2) driver knowledge scores and attitude indices, (3) conversion rates by driver category and operational zone, (4) commuter awareness and preference, and (5) early adopter satisfaction and recommendation rates.

REFERENCES

- Adebayo, O. C., & Suleiman, I. K. (2024). Fuel subsidy removal in Nigeria: Economic implications and alternative energy transitions. *Journal of Energy Policy and Development*, 15(2), 45-67.
- Adeleke, R., & Salisu, A. A. (2022). Spatial Analysis of Traffic Congestion and Its Impact on Urban Mobility in Abuja, Nigeria. *Journal of Urban Management*, 11(4), 512-525.
- Adjei, S. K., & Mensah, E. M. (2024). Challenges and prospects of compressed natural gas adoption in Sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. *African Journal of Energy and Environment*, 8(3), 112-134.
- Allied Market Research. (2021). *Compressed natural gas market by source type, vehicle type, and application: Global opportunity analysis and industry forecast, 2021-2030*. Allied Market Research Publications.
- Bello, A., & Shehu, H. (2024). Vehicular Emissions and Air Quality Degradation in a Planned City: A Case of Abuja, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology*, 8(1), 45-59.
- Eze, F. O., & Obi, C. N. (2025). Financing the energy transition: Fiscal incentives and credit mechanisms for CNG adoption in Nigeria. *West African Journal of Energy Economics*, 7(1), 78-102.
- Federal Capital Territory Administration (FCTA). (2023). *Abuja Urban Infrastructure Report*. Federal Capital Territory Administration.

- International Energy Agency (IEA). (2023). *World Energy Outlook 2023*. International Energy Agency.
- Khan, M. A., & Rizvi, S. H. (2021). Compressed natural gas as a sustainable transportation fuel: A global review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 145, 111-129.
- Kumar, R., & Sharma, P. (2021). Adoption barriers and enablers for natural gas vehicles in urban public transport: A stakeholder perspective. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 75, 103298.
- Ogunleye, T. A., & Adeleke, K. O. (2022). Informal public transport in Nigerian cities: Structure, challenges and reform options. *Urban Forum*, 33(2), 189-208.
- Oke, P. O., & Ukwuoma, N. E. (2024). Drivers' attitudes towards compressed natural gas adoption in Abuja's commercial transport sector. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 115, 103-118.
- Okonkwo, P. N. (2024). Economic impact of fuel subsidy removal on commercial transport operators in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Transport Economics*, 3(2), 56-78.
- Oni, B. A., et al. (2022). The prospects and challenges of natural gas utilisation as automotive fuel in Nigeria. *Scientific African*, 16, e01180.
- Oyedeji, O. A., & Oluwaseun, T. O. (2025). Scaling CNG adoption in Nigeria: Infrastructure, capacity building and policy coordination. *African Journal of Energy Policy*, 12(1), 34-59.
- Presidential CNG Initiative. (2024). *The Presidential Compressed Natural Gas (Pi-CNG) Initiative Framework*. Government of Nigeria.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Sharma, A. K., & Verma, P. (2022). Market dynamics and growth drivers in the global compressed natural gas industry. *Energy Economics*, 106, 105-121.
- UN-Habitat. (2021). *Sustainable Urban Mobility Planning in African Cities*. Nairobi: UN-Habitat.
- Usman, A. B., & Abdulazeez, M. S. (2025). Feasibility and performance characterisation of a dual-fuel engine automobile using premium motor spirit (PMS) and compressed natural gas (CNG). *Bayero Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 20(2), 33-39.
- Yamamoto, T., & Chen, L. (2023). Asia-Pacific leadership in compressed natural gas transportation: Policy frameworks and infrastructure development. *Asian Transport Studies*, 9, 100-118.